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Introduction

The Association of British Bookmakers (ABB) represents over 80% of the high street betting market. Our members include large national operators such as William Hill, Ladbrokes, Coral and Paddy Power, as well as almost 100 smaller independent bookmakers.

Please see below for the ABB’s response to the current consultation on the Council’s draft gambling policy statement.

This sets out the ABB approach to partnership working with local authorities and details any areas of concern within the draft statement, including our views on the implementation of the new LCCP requirements, from April 2016, relating to operators’ local area risk assessments and their impact on the licensing regime.

We are concerned to ensure these changes are not implemented in such a way as to fundamentally change the premises licence regime through undermining the “aim to permit” principle, reference to which we welcome is included in the Council’s draft statement.

In our view the current regime already adequately offers key protections for communities and already provides a clear process (including putting the public on notice) for objections to premises licence applications. The recent planning law changes effective since April 2015 have also already increased the ability of licensing authorities to review applications for new premises, as all new betting shops must now apply for planning permission.

It is important that this is also set within the context of declining betting shop numbers. Over recent years betting shop numbers have been relatively stable at around 9,000 nationally, but more recently a trend of overall downwards decline can be seen. The latest Gambling Commission industry statistics\(^1\) show that numbers as at 31 Mar 2015 were 8,958 - a decline of 179 from the previous year, when there were 9,137 recorded, as at 31 March 2014.

Working in partnership with local authorities

The ABB is fully committed to ensuring constructive working relationships exist between betting operators and licensing authorities, and that where problems may arise that they can be dealt with in partnership. The exchange of clear information between councils and betting operators is a key part of this and we welcome the opportunity to respond to this consultation.

- **LGA – ABB Betting Partnership Framework**

In January 2015 the ABB signed a partnership agreement with the Local Government Association (LGA), developed over a period of months by a specially formed Betting Commission consisting of councillors and betting shop firms, which established a framework designed to encourage more joint working between councils and the industry.

Launching the document Cllr Tony Page, LGA Licensing spokesman, said it demonstrated the

“...desire on both sides to increase joint-working in order to try and use existing powers to tackle local concerns, whatever they might be.”

The framework builds on earlier examples of joint working between councils and the industry, for example the Ealing Southall Betwatch scheme and Medway Responsible Gambling Partnership.

In Ealing, the Southall Betwatch was set up to address concerns about crime and disorder linked to betting shops in the borough. As a result, crime within gambling premises reduced by 50 per cent alongside falls in public order and criminal damage offences.

In December last year, the Medway Responsible Gambling Partnership was launched by Medway Council and the ABB. The first of its kind in Britain, the voluntary agreement allows anyone who is concerned they are developing a problem with their gambling to exclude themselves from all betting shops in the area.

The initiative also saw the industry working together with representatives of Kent Police and with the Medway Community Safety Partnership to develop a Reporting of Crime Protocol that is helpful in informing both the industry, police and other interested parties about levels of crime and the best way to deal with any crime in a way that is proportionate and effective.

Learnings from the initial self-exclusion trial in Medway have been incorporated into a second trial in Glasgow city centre, launched in July this year with the support of Glasgow City Council, which it is hoped will form the basis of a national scheme to be rolled out in time for the LCCP deadline by April 2016.

Jane Chitty, Medway Council’s Portfolio Holder for Planning, Economic Growth & Regulation, said:

“The Council has implemented measures that work at a local level but I am pleased to note that the joint work we are doing here in Medway is going to help the development of a national scheme.”

Describing the project, Glasgow’s City Treasurer and Chairman of a cross-party Sounding Board on gambling, Cllr Paul Rooney said:

“This project breaks new ground in terms of the industry sharing information, both between operators and, crucially, with their regulator.”

- **Primary Authority Partnerships in place between the ABB and local authorities**

All major operators, and the ABB on behalf of independent members, have also established Primary Authority Partnerships with local authorities.

These Partnerships help provide a consistent approach to regulation by local authorities, within the areas covered by the Partnership; such as age-verification or health and safety. We believe this level of consistency is beneficial both for local authorities and for operators.

For instance, Primary Authority Partnerships between Milton Keynes Council and Reading Council and their respective partners, Ladbrokes and Paddy Power, led to the first Primary Authority inspection plans for gambling coming into effect in January 2015.

By creating largely uniform plans, and requiring enforcing officers to inform the relevant Primary Authority before conducting a proactive test-purchase, and provide feedback afterwards, the plans have been able to bring consistency to proactive test-purchasing whilst allowing the Primary Authorities to help the businesses prevent underage gambling on their premises.
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- Local area risk assessments

From April 2016, under new Gambling Commission LCCP provisions, operators are required to complete local area risk assessments identifying any risks posed to the licensing objectives and how these would be mitigated.

Licensees must take into account relevant matters identified in the licensing authority’s statement of licensing policy and local area profile in their risk assessment, and these must be reviewed where there are significant local changes or changes to the premises, or when applying for a variation to or a new premises licence.

The ABB supports this requirement as set out in the LCCP, as this will help sustain a transparent and open dialogue between operators and councils. The ABB is also committed to working pro-actively with local authorities to help drive the development of best practice in this area.

- Evidence based approach

It is important that any risks identified are supported by substantive evidence. Where risks are unsubstantiated there is a danger that the regulatory burden will be disproportionate. This may be the case where local authorities include perceived rather than evidenced risks in their local area profiles.

This would distort the aim to permit principle set out in the Gambling Act 2005 by moving the burden of proof onto operators. Under the Act, it is incumbent on licensing authorities to provide evidence as to any risks to the licensing objectives, and not on the operator to provide evidence as to how they may mitigate any potential risk.

A reversal of this would represent a significant increase in the resource required for operators to be compliant whilst failing to offer a clear route by which improvements in protections against gambling related harm can be made.

- Local area profile

As such, we are concerned at the inclusion of the following in the draft Statement:

“When determining an application to grant a Premises Licence or review a Premises Licence, regard will be taken to the proximity of the premises to schools, vulnerable adult centres or residential areas where there may be a high concentration of families with children.”

“A local area profile will be produced that shows the location of facilities associated with children and vulnerable persons as well as some socioeconomic indicators of potential vulnerability such as deprivation and unemployment.”

“If an application for a new licence or variation is submitted that is within 400 metres of a sensitive building or vulnerable community, operators are encouraged to provide details of the measures to be implemented that would overcome any risks relating to one or more of the licensing objectives.”

Similarly, we are also concerned that any factors listed for operators to have mind to when compiling their risk assessments should be supported by empirical evidence detailing the risk of harm. As outlined above we are extremely concerned at the inclusion of any local area profile which is not based on empirical evidence demonstrating that the increased availability of gambling in those areas would lead to harm.
There is no evidence that proximity of young or vulnerable people to a betting premises would impact the ability of the shop to uphold the licensing objectives. This is because all operators already have strict policies and procedures in place to prevent the access of under-age people to the premises and to ensure the protection of vulnerable people. The mere increased proximity of either of those groups to the premises would not affect this.

As evidence of the effectiveness of the policies and controls in place to prevent underage gambling, the latest [IPSOS MORI report](#) on underage gambling showed that levels of claimed participation in activities such as betting in shops, using gambling websites and visiting casinos, remain notably low (1%).

The incidence of problem and social gambling among children as defined by the DSM-IV-MR-J screen has also continued to fall: In 2008/9 2% of young people were identified as ‘problem gamblers’, compared with 0.7% in 2014, and 1.2% of children were classified as ‘at risk gamblers’ in 2014, compared with 3.4% in 2008/9.

The high street betting industry has stringent measures in place to prevent underage gambling in shops; operating to a strict Think21 policy and conducting regular independent third party testing to check the effectiveness of the procedures in place. The ABB pays for independent members to participate in such testing and the larger operators have been conducting their own testing since 2009.

Though we welcome that the Council have stated “each case will be determined on its individual merits”. The industry fully supports the development of proportionate and evidenced based regulation, and is committed to minimising the harmful effects of gambling. The ABB is continuing to work closely with the Gambling Commission and the government to further evaluate and build on the measures put in place under the ABB Code for Responsible Gambling, which is mandatory for all our members.

- **Concerns around increases in the regulatory burden on operators**

Any increase in the regulatory burden would severely impact on our members at a time when overall shop numbers are in decline, and operators are continuing to respond to and absorb significant recent regulatory change. This includes the increase to 25% of MGD, changes to staking over £50 on gaming machines, and planning use class changes which require all new betting shops in England to apply for planning permission.

Moving away from an evidence based approach would lead to substantial variation between licensing authorities and increase regulatory compliance costs for our members. This is of particular concern for smaller operators, who do not have the same resources to be able to put into monitoring differences across all licensing authorities and whose businesses are less able to absorb increases in costs, putting them at risk of closure.

Such variation would in our opinion also weaken the overall standard of regulation at a local level by preventing the easy development of standard or best practice across different local authorities.

- **Employing additional licence conditions**

We welcome that the Council has said “the starting point in determining applications will be to grant the application without additional conditions.”

If additional licence conditions are more commonly applied this would further increase variation across licensing authorities and create uncertainty amongst operators as to licensing requirements, over complicating the licensing process both for operators and local authorities.
- **Additional concerns**

We would also request that where a local area profile is produced by the licensing authority that this be made clearly available within the body of the licensing policy statement, where it will be easily accessible by the operator and also available for consultation whenever the policy statement is reviewed.

---

**Conclusion**

The ABB and our members are committed to working closely with both the Gambling Commission and local authorities to continually drive up standards in regulatory compliance in support of the three licensing objectives: to keep crime out of gambling, ensure that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way, and to protect the vulnerable.

Indeed, as set out, we already do this successfully in partnership with local authorities now. This includes through the ABB Code for Responsible Gambling, which is mandatory for all our members, and the Safe Bet Alliance (SBA), which sets voluntary standards across the industry to make shops safer for customers and staff. We would encourage local authorities to engage with us as we continue to develop both these codes of practice which are in direct support of the licensing objectives.

---

**Contact:** For any responses or requests for additional information please contact Lauren Hilton, Public Affairs Executive (laurenhilton@abb.uk.com / 020 7434 2111).